

From: Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US
Sent: 5/14/2012 12:26:26 PM
To: Mikal Shabazz/R3/USEPA/US
CC: Denise-T Page/R3/USEPA/US@EPA; Gerald Heston/R3/USEPA/US@EPA; Lorrie Murray/R3/USEPA/US@EPA; carney.dennis@epa.gov; mcdonald.joanna@epa.gov; Jacquelin Williams/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Subject: Re: Fw: Additional Funding for Dimock

Mikal,

I have thought about your suggestion to just extend the current contract and believe that poses a risk, that if, for some reason, we are not finished with ERRS needs by August 28th, then we will have to issue a new TO then and do the same work we are trying to avoid now. We are planning to resample 4 homes and sample 3 homes yet in round 1. Those results and evaluation of data might not be completed until June 30th. Depending upon decision made on that data we may still have needs during July.

In short, I can't tell you either way, whether we will be completely done by August 28th, so I think we need to proceed and issue a new TO under the new contract, regardless of the paperwork requirements. My only concern would be if there is some jeopardy of not being able to continue with Kemron, since they have the history and knowledge to continue with providing ERRS services at this site. I didn't see that issue in your message, so again, I think we proceed with a new TO under the new contract....making sure that we use the old contract TO right up to the end and preserve as much funding as possible. As you know, I have not been given a lot of flexibility on the funding issue.

Rich

Richard M. Fetzer
Federal On-Scene Coordinator
100 Gypsum Road
Stroudsburg, PA 18360
(215) 341-6307

From: Mikal Shabazz/R3/USEPA/US
To: Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Gerald Heston/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Lorrie Murray/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Denise-T Page/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/14/2012 07:49 AM
Subject: Fw: Additional Funding for Dimock

Rich,

Since you were unavailable, I spoke to Jerry briefly about the subject topic on Thursday and suggested that if your were sure that the Dimock Site would be completely finished by August 28th, i.e., including all final billing and invoices paid, etc, then it might be best to simply extend the current contract rather than have to reproduce everything Denise is asking for, documentation wise, sense it is the same exact documentation that was used for the current TO that would required for a new Task Order (TO) under the new contract. Jerry suggested that, because it wasn't an extremely urgent matter, that we could wait until today for you to decide how you want to proceed.

Initially, we developed a TO under the new contract as you requested, however, given the required documentation demands, we thought you might want to reconsider how you want to proceed. If you decide to proceed by extending the period of performance then, please provided the time frame, however, if you decide to proceed with a TO under the new contract the please forward all the required documentation noted in Denise's below message. Perhaps, this dialog should have occurred upon the initial receipt of your request and the TO would not have been initiated and, should you decide to take the extension route, we do apologize for any inconvenience that may have been experienced by Contracts. What would you like to do, extend the current contract or develop all the required documents to support the same task order issued under the new contract?

Mikal Shabazz, ERRS Project Officer/Emergency Planner
Preparedness and Program Support Branch/HSCD
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region III
1650 Arch Street (3HS33)
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Ph: 215.814.3281/Fx:215.814.3254

----- Forwarded by Mikal Shabazz/R3/USEPA/US on 05/14/2012 07:17 AM -----

From: Denise-T Page/R3/USEPA/US
To: Mikal Shabazz/R3/USEPA/US
Cc: Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/10/2012 05:03 PM
Subject: Re: Additional Funding for Dimock

Mikal,

The contract was extended August 31, 2012 but the Dimock Task Order was not extended. But if this work is going to continue pass August 31st it would need to go on the new contract anyway. We were under the impression that Rick wanted this work under the new contract; as the PR notes the new Contract Number.

If this is going under the new contract:
This is will be a new Task Order issued under a new Contract.
Yes, I will need new documentation. If it is the same SOW then I will need it added to the package, not a revised one.

Again, if I am unavailable please pass this on to the CO

Denise T. Page
Contracting Officer
Environmental Protection Agency
Region III
1650 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
(215) 814-5195

From: Mikal Shabazz/R3/USEPA/US
To: Denise-T Page/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/10/2012 04:27 PM
Subject: Re: Additional Funding for Dimock

Denise,

The SOW is the same. The PR was submitted via EAS under the new contract, EP-S3-12-01.

Rich, isn't it the same Action Memo? Please email a new IGCE for the amount requested.

Denise, by the way, if Rich wanted to, could he just add additional funds to the current contract and extend the period of performance till the end of July instead of going through all of what you described?

If so, Rich, do you mind taking this route rather than having to go through a more labor intensive process? If you decide to take this easier route, then please send a note to the Contract Officer and cc me to add \$55,000 and extend the POP until July 31, 2012.

Mikal Shabazz, ERRS Project Officer/Emergency Planner
Preparedness and Program Support Branch/HSCD
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region III
1650 Arch Street (3HS33)
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Ph: 215.814.3281/Fx:215.814.3254

From: Denise-T Page/R3/USEPA/US
To: Mikal Shabazz/R3/USEPA/US
Cc: Richard Fetzner/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/10/2012 04:10 PM
Subject: Additonal Funding for Dimock

Good Afternoon Mikal,

The funding package you left with me will need to be revised because we are now in a new contract - EP-S3-12-01 so this will be the new Task Order. I will need the following:
following would be needed:

- EPA Form 1900-59 Delivery Order
- SOW (not a revised one)
- Funded PR in EAS
- Action Memo
- IGCE (must be for the current amount needed not total amount)

You submitted the CBI review and the Statement of Work Certification

I will leave the package you submitted on my desk, please pickup and submit one for a new task order.

If I am not available please do not leave on my desk, submit to the CO, John Robb

Thanks,
Denise T. Page
Contracting Officer
Environmental Protection Agency
Region III
1650 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
(215) 814-5195